

CS 4530: Fundamentals of Software Engineering

Module 9.2: Distributing Data

Adeel Bhutta, Jan Vitek and Mitch Wand
Khoury College of Computer Sciences

Learning Goals for this Lesson


- At the end of this lesson, you should be able to
 - explain the concepts of partition and replication
 - List and explain the major benefits and pitfalls of each of these
 - Explain the CAP theorem

Dealing with shared data is a challenge

- Most distributed systems have some shared data
- How important is it to:
 - Retrieve data quickly?
 - Update data quickly?
 - Make sure all users see the same data?
 - Make sure all users can always see (some values of) the data
- This all depends on the goals of the system.


Recurring Problem #1: Too Much Data

- In a non-distributed system, all accesses go to a single server.



Recurring Solution #1: Partitioning

- Divide up the data in some (hopefully logical) way.
- Each server is responsible for only some of the data



Partitioning has some advantages


- Each server has 50% of the data
- Requires less processing power per server
- Allows concurrency in reads/writes
- Even if one server goes down, still have access to 50% of the data

Partitioning also has a big challenge

- What's a good way to divide the data?
 - Depends on the nature of the application
 - We'll see this in our case studies


Recurring Problem #2: Too Many Requests

- In a non-distributed system, all requests go to a single server.



Recurring Solution #2: Replication

- Entire data set is copied
- Either server can handle any request




Replication has advantages

- Improves performance:
 - Client load can be evenly shared between servers
 - Reduces latency: can place copies of data nearer to clients
- Improves availability:
 - One replica fails, still can serve all requests from other replicas


But replication has a big problem: Consistency

- We probably want our system to work like this




Sequential Consistency is the Ideal

- AKA: Behaves like a single machine would
- But unattainable!




Consistent + Available

- On timeout, assume node is crashed



What if the *network* fails?

- No way to tell whether it's the network or the remote machine.



CAP Theorem: Consistency or Availability

- Pick two of three:
 - Consistency: All nodes see the same data at the same time (strong consistency)
 - Availability: Individual node failures do not prevent survivors from continuing to operate
 - Partition tolerance: The system continues to operate despite message loss (from network and/or node failure)
 - Can't drop this for a DS - networks can always fail

Luckily, there are possible compromises

- Sacrifice some availability for consistency (eg in a chat system: you want the chats to appear in order)
- Sacrifice some consistency for availability (eg you may not care in what order the cats appear)
- Or you may want different policies for reads vs. writes.
- Doing this is beyond the limits of this course (whew!)

Most distributed systems combine both partitioning and replication



Learning Goals for this Lesson

- You should now be able to
 - explain the concepts of partition and replication
 - List and explain the major benefits and pitfalls of each of these
 - Explain the CAP theorem